UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,406	11/10/2003	Satoshi Mizutani	20050/0200470-US0	4395
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 04/16/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,406	MIZUTANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1/31/08</u> .						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 17 and 19-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17 and 19-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.					
•	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date <a href="https://dx.ndm.nih.gov/1/21/08/2/7/08">1/31/08,2/7/08</a>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date ıformal Patent Application (PTO-152) <u>4/3/08</u> .				

Art Unit: 3761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/31/08 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/31/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., fingertip openings formed by unbonded portions on left and right side edges of the interlabial pad) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The examiner maintains that Wierlacher teaches an embodiment where the handling aid is a series of loops. A series of loops constitutes loops in succession, which meets the claim limitation of unbonded portions. Because the handling aid stretches across the central region towards the longitudinal edges of the article, it is reasonable to assume

Art Unit: 3761

the series of loops would also be oriented across the central region towards the longitudinal side edges.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 17, 19, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 99/01093 to Wierlacher. Weirlacher discloses a pad having a substantially planar shape, a longitudinal axis, and a lateral axis, and being capable of being used as an interlabial pad comprising an absorbent body 24 for absorbing body fluids; a water-permeable surface side sheet 22, and a rear surface side sheet 23; a holding sheet member 58 lapped on the rear surface side sheet (Figure 8). Wierlacher teaches the holding sheet member can comprises more than one strip of material or a series of loops (page 23, paragraph 2), which would constitute a pair of first and second fingertip insert openings as claimed. Wierlacher teaches an embodiment where the handling aid is a series of loops. A series of loops constitutes loops in succession, which meets the claim limitation of unbonded portions. Because the handling aid stretches across the central region towards the longitudinal edges of the article, it is reasonable to assume the series of loops would also be oriented across the central

region towards the longitudinal side edges. Wierlacher further discloses a folding guide element 70, 72 linearly and continuously along the longitudinal axis provided to form a convex protruding area when the sheet portion is pinched. The rear surface side sheet has bonded portions at both longitudinal surrounding ends of the interlabial pad (Figure 8). As to the limitations of being pinched via the fingertip openings, these limitations are directed to an intended use of the article. "Intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)." If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim limitations.

As to claim 19, Wierlacher discloses folding guide elements 64, 68, 70, and 72.

As to claims 24 and 25, Wierlacher discloses a long protruding area 50 along a symmetric axis. Wierlacher discloses the protruding area is formed when by the users finger assisted by the bend lines (page 25, paragraph 2; Figures 1, 3, 5B, 5C).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3761

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claim 20-23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wierlacher WO 9901093.

As to claims 20-22, Wierlacher does not specifically disclose the size of the insert opening. However, Weirlacher discloses the size of the opening for inserting at least one finger for positioning purposes (page 22, paragraph 2; pages 25, paragraphs 1 and 2; page 26, paragraph 5). In *Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,406

Page 6

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 23, Weirlacher does not disclose a pattern or chromatic difference between the sheet body and the opposite side of the pad to the body side. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a color or pattern to the pad, since applicant has not disclosed that it solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with a uniform pad as taught in Weirlacher.

8. Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wierlacher WO 9901093 in view of Farris et al. USPN 6131736. Wierlacher does not disclose a wrapping sheet for covering and enclosing the interlabial product. Farris et al. discloses a packaging device including a wrapping sheet 40 for the benefit of storing the interlabial device until ready for use in such a manner that the user neither touches nor contaminates the surface of the absorbent in handling (Farris col. 5, lines 45-52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Weirlacher to include a packaging device for the benefits taught in Farris.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3761

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacqueline F Stephens/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761